



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/666,716	09/19/2003	Don Ramsey	250320-1020 9025	
24504 THOMAS, KA	7590 06/01/200 AYDEN, HORSTEMEN	• •	EXAM	IINER
100 GALLERI	IA PARKWAY, NW		SHAW, Y	IN CHEN
STE 1750 ATLANTA, G	A 30339-5948		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, 0			2135	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Total Examiner	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
## Examiner ## Unit ## VinChen Shaw ## 2135 ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ## Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ## Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CRF 1.198(s) in no event, novewer, may a reply be timely filed if 11 NO period for may be specified bown, the marrorm stations period will apply and all expires (31) (MONTHS from the mainty date of this communication. Failure to reply which he set or extended period for reply wit, by stabulation period will apply and all expires (31) (MONTHS from the mainty date of this communication. Failure to reply which he set or extended period for reply with, by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by station. Failure to reply with the set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period for reply with by a set or extended period or period perio		10/666.716	RAMSEY DON
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE: 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, EROM THE MALINEO DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **Extractions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.363, his overant, may a rarply be intended and an array ste, (30 MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. **P NO period for reply is applicable above. Per natural statutury period will apply and large 35 (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. **P NO period for reply is applicated by the provision of 17 CFR 1.764, his overant the maining date of this communication. **P NO period for reply is applicated by the provision of the provision of the communication and the status of the provision of the communication and the status of the contribution of the status of the contribution of the status of the contribution of the status of the contributi	Office Action Summary		
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions from may be available used the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no event however, may a ripy be timely field If NO period for ripy is specified above, the maximum statutory period wit apply and use expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. Falluts to recy within the set or extracted period for reply with the set or extracted period for reply with the set or extracted period for reply within the set or extracted period for reply within the set or extracted period for reply with the set or extracted period for reply the set of the se			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extractions of time many be available under the provision of 37 CFR 1.13(6), in no went, however, may a reply be timely filed If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failute for reply will the set of certified period for reply will be set or certified period for reply will be set or the centre of the certified period for reply will be set or certified period for reply will be set or the centre of the certified period for reply will be set or the centre of the cent	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	1	l •
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of term may be available under the provides of 37 CF1 13(6), in to even, flowers, may a reply be timely filed after 53k (8) MOSHIST from the making date of this communication. Failute to recy which the act or extended pariod for review 10 to the communication. Failute to recy which the act or extended pariod for review 10 to the communication. Failute to recy which the act or extended pariod for review 10 to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any search patter than adjustment. Sea 37 CF1 1704(e). Status 1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2007. 2a) ☑ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3] ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ② Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) ☐ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☑ Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abovance. Sea 37 CF8 1.121(d). 11 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ 1 ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application in Salton application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 March 2007. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5] Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8] Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9] The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10] The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application	WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: al] accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Status		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 M	arch 2007.	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are lallowed. 7) Claim(s) is/are lallowed. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The grawing(s) filed on is/are: a) cocepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Internal Patent Application	2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
A) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dayling Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		•	•
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Drattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Disposition of Claims		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)			
6) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-82) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		vii irom consideration.	·
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>		
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Faper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Faper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date.	Application Paners		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	_	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	•	hy the Evaminer
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		•	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·	
a) \[All \] b) \[Some * c) \[None of: 1 \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 \[Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 \[Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) \[Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) \[Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) \[Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 1 \] Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Attachment(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in	Application No
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	·	•	n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application		•	•
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application	<u> </u>	_	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application			
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of	Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number:	Page 2
10/666,716	
Art Unit: 2135	

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This written action is responding to the amendment dated on 03/17/2007.
- 2. Claims 1, 8-22, and 24-36 have been amended. All other claims are as original.
- 3. Claims 1-36 have been submitted for examination.
- 4. Rejections of Independent claims are provided with detailed citations from the prior arts.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3 and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,490,683) and further in view of Ohgake (U.S. Pub. 2001/0044887).

a. Referring to Claim 1:

As per Claim 1, Yamada et al. disclose a method for encoding a confidential optical disc with a burner, the method comprising the steps of: receiving signal of creating confidential optical disc to switch burner into a burning mode, receiving a start burn signal to begin data encoding process, and burning buffer to an optical disc and produce a tangible disc [(lines 1-20, Col. 8; Figs. 2 and 3 from Yamada et al.)];

Application/Control Number:		Page 3
10/666,716		J
Art Unit: 2135		

setting a data-accessing password for future verification [(lines 41-46, Col. 19; Figs. 2 and 3 from Yamada et al.)]; and

creating a temporary file system as buffer that includes two stages, creating standard file set and creating parallel file set with real data [(lines 57-67, Col. 22 and lines 1-19, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)]; Yamada et al. do not expressly disclose the remaining limitations of the claim. However, Ohgake discloses selecting one of data sources for public viewing and confidential viewing data to be burned on the disc [(lines 1-5 of [0030] and lines 1-14 of [0035] from Ohgake)]. Yamada et al. and Ohgake are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and file system format for recoding medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al. to have selected viewing level assigned to different portions of content for different users as disclosed by Ohgake since one would have been motivated to have a method of controlling access to the record medium (lines 2-3 of [0002] from Ohgake). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al. with Ohgake to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 1.

b. Referring to Claim 2:

As per Claim 2, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the burner is an optical disc writer associated with a computer

Application/Control Number:		Page 4
10/666,716	·	
Art Unit: 2135		

or other consumer device [(Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.) and (lines 1-5 of [0044] of Ohgake)].

c. Referring to Claim 3:

As per Claim 3, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the data-accessing password is placed to a descriptor [(lines 66-67, Col. 19 from Yamada et al.)]

d. Referring to Claim 5:

As per Claim 5, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the optical disc is a CDRW [(lines 45-47, Col. 22 and lines 40-45, Col. 4 from Yamada et al.)].

e. Referring to Claim 6:

As per Claim 6, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the optical disc is a DVDRW [(lines 45-47, Col. 22 and lines 40-45, Col. 4 from Yamada et al.)].

f. Referring to Claim 7:

As per Claim 7, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the optical disc is a DVD RAM [(lines 45-47, Col. 22 and lines 40-45, Col. 4 from Yamada et al.)].

g. Referring to Claim 8:

As per Claim 8, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the selected data source is hard disc [(lines 9-12, Col. 17

Application/Control Number: 10/666,716	Page 5
Δrt I Init: 2135	

and Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.); where the semiconductor memory can be a hard disc]

h. Referring to Claim 9:

As per Claim 9, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the selected data source is CD [(lines 9-12, Col. 17 and Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.); where the semiconductor memory can be a CD].

i. Referring to Claim 10:

As per Claim 10, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the selected data source is DVD [(lines 9-12, Col. 17 and Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.); where the semiconductor memory can be a DVD].

j. Referring to Claim 11:

As per Claim 11, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the selected data source is DVD RAM [(lines 9-12, Col. 17 and Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.); where the semiconductor memory can be a DVD-RAM]

k. Referring to Claim 12:

As per Claim 12, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the file system is UDF file system [(lines 7-11, Col. 19 and lines 43-45, Col. 22 from Yamada et al.)].

I. Referring to Claim 13:

Application/Control Number:	 	 Page 6
10/666,716		
Art Unit: 2135	 	

As per Claim 13, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the file system is ISO 9660 file system [(lines 47-48, Col. 22 from Yamada et al.)].

- 6. Claims 14-28 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,490,683) and Ohgake (U.S. Pub. 2001/0044887), and further in view of Ando et al. (U.S. Patent 6,907,187).
 - a. Referring to Claim 14:

As per Claim 14, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the creating standard file set stage further comprises the following steps:

importing directory of data from a data source [(lines 65-67, Col. 22 and lines 5-19, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)];

creating descriptors that describes the whole file system [(lines 53-64, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)];

assigning disc address of root directory to descriptor [(lines 28-33, 39-43, and 53-58, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)];

reading the imported directory tree [(lines 49-51, Col. 22 and lines 35-47, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)];

converting imported directory and files into optical disc format according to file system [(lines 43-48, Col. 22 and lines 5-19, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)]; and

Application/Control Number:	•	Page 7
10/666,716		
Art Unit: 2125		

assigning disc addresses to directories and file records [(lines 64-66, Col. 22; lines 28-33, Col. 23 and lines 36-41, Col. 20 from Yamada et al.)].

Yamada et al. and Ohgake do not expressly disclose the imported directory of data is dummy data. However, Ando et al. disclose the encoded data may contain dummy portion, which can be imported for recording/playback [(lines 35-41, Col. 14)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and file system format for recoding medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al. and Ohgake with the dummy information disclosed by Ando et al. since one would have been motivated to have the improvement in and relating to an information recording method of recording video information of an information storage medium and information reproducing method of reproducing the video information from the information storage medium (lines 9-13, Col. 1 from Ando et al.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al. and Ohgake with Ando et al. to obtain the invention as specified in Claim

b. Referring to Claim 15:

14.

As per Claim 15, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the standard file set is created according to UDF file system [(lines 7-11, Col. 19 and lines 43-45, Col. 22 from Yamada et al.)].

c. Referring to Claim 16:

As per Claim 16, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the standard file set is created according to ISO 9660 file system [(lines 47-48, Col. 22 from Yamada et al.)].

d. Referring to Claim 23:

As per Claim 23, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the creating parallel file set stage further comprises the following steps: importing directory tree of real data from source [(lines 65-67, Col. 22 and lines 5-19, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)];

getting next available address by reading directory and file records of data to find out where directory tree ends in order to place next descriptor and data [(lines 66-67, Col. 19; lines 28-33 and 53-58, Col. 23; lines 35-38, Col. 24 from Yamada et al.)];

assigning disc address to real root directory and data-accessing password to a descriptor [(lines 66-67, Col. 19; and lines 36-41, Col. 20; lines 64-66, Col. 22; lines 28-33 and 53-58, Col. 23 and lines 36-41, Col. 20 from Yamada et al.)];

Application/Control Number:		Page 9
10/666,716		
Art Unit: 2135	·	

24; lines 1-5, Col. 25 and lines 57-62, Col. 28 from Yamada et al.)]; converting real directory files into optical disc format according to file system [(lines 43-48, Col. 22 and lines 5-19, Col. 23 from Yamada et **al.)]**; and assigning disc addresses to directories and file records and assigning data addresses to file records [(lines 64-66, Col. 22; lines 28-33, Col. 23 and lines 36-41, Col. 20 from Yamada et al.)]. Yamada et al. and Ohgake do not expressly disclose the imported directory of data is dummy data. However, Ando et al. disclose the encoded data may contain dummy portion and real portion, which can be imported for recording/playback [(lines 35-41, Col. 14 and Fig. 7)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and file system format for recoding medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al. and Ohgake with the dummy information as well as the real information disclosed by Ando et al. since one would have been motivated to have the improvement in and relating to an information recording method of recording video information of an information storage medium and information reproducing method of reproducing the video information from the information storage medium

reading imported directory tree [(lines 49-51, Col. 22; lines 60-67, Col.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,716	٠	·	Page 10
Art Unit: 2135			

(lines 9-13, Col. 1 from Ando et al.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al. and Ohgake with Ando et al. to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 23.

e. Referring to Claims 17 and 24:

As per Claim 17, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the data source is hard disc folder [(lines 9-12, Col. 17 and Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.); where the semiconductor memory can be a hard disc storing different folders and directories].

As per Claim 24, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 24 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 17. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 17 above.

f. Referring to Claim 18 and 25:

As per Claim 18, the rejection of Claim 14 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 18 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 9. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 9 above.

As per Claim 25, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 25 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 9.

Application/Control Number:	,	Page 11
10/666,716		
Art Unit: 2135	•	

Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 9 above.

g. Referring to Claim 19 and 26:

As per Claim 19, the rejection of Claim 14 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 19 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 10. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 10 above.

As per Claim 26, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 26 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 10. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 10 above.

h. Referring to Claim 20 and 27:

As per Claim 20, the rejection of Claim 14 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 20 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 11. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 11 above.

As per Claim 27, the rejection of Claim 23 is incorporated. In addition, Claim 27 encompasses limitations that are similar to those of Claim 11. Therefore, it is rejected with the same rationale applied against Claim 11 above.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,716	Page 12
Art Unit: 2135	

i. <u>Referring to Claim 21:</u>

As per Claim 21, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the data source is sample menu [(lines 9-12, Col. 17 and Fig. 13 from Yamada et al.); where the semiconductor memory can be a sample menu]

j. Referring to Claim 22:

As per Claim 22, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose the method of claim 14, wherein the descriptor in step of assigning disc address of root directory to descriptor is file set descriptor [(lines 52-64, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)].

k. Referring to Claim 28:

As per Claim 28, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose disclose the method of claim 23, wherein the directory imported from real data in step of importing directory tree of real data from source is placed to a descriptor [(lines 65-67, Col. 22; lines 5-19, Col. 23; lines 53-64, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)].

I. Referring to Claim 30:

As per Claim 30, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the step of burning buffer to an optical disc further comprises the following steps:

burning descriptors [(lines 11-15, Col. 24 from Yamada et al.)];

Application/Control Number:	Page 13
10/666,716	
Art Unit: 2135	

burning directory and file records [(lines 27-28 and 45-48 Col. 24 from Yamada et al.)]; and

burning data at addresses assigned by file records [(lines 30-33, Col. 24 from Yamada et al.)].

Yamada et al. and Ohgake do not expressly disclose the dummy data. However, Ando et al. disclose the encoded data may contain both real data as well as dummy data [(lines 35-41, Col. 14 and Fig. 7)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and file system format for recoding medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al. and Ohgake with the dummy information disclosed by Ando et al. since one would have been motivated to have the improvement in and relating to an information recording method of recording video information of an information storage medium and information reproducing method of reproducing the video information from the information storage medium (lines 9-13, Col. 1 from Ando et al.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al. and Ohgake with Ando et al. to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 30.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,716	Page 14
Art Unit: 2135	

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,490,683) and Ohgake (U.S. Pub. 2001/0044887), and further in view of Sasaki et al. (U.S. Pub. 2002/0051630).

a. Referring to Claim 4:

As per Claim 4, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose the method of claim 1, wherein the data-accessing password is placed anywhere on disc [(lines 41-46, Col. 19 from Yamada et al.)]. Yamada et al. and Ohgake do not expressly disclose the remaining limitations of the claim. However, Sasaki et al. disclose anywhere on the disc that does not have a piece of data or descriptor's addressing fixed by file system or application layer [(lines 1-7 of [0264] from Yamada et al.)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Sasaki et al. are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and file system format for recoding medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al. and Ohgake with virtual/non-fixed address in the protected area disclosed by Sasaki et al. since one would have been motivated to have a recording medium in which a lead-in area and an over\-run protection area, both including an area which records chain volume management information for obtaining end position of an accessible area (lines 7-10 of [0002] from Sasaki et al.). Therefore, it

Application/Control Number:	Page 15
10/666,716	
Art Unit: 2135	

would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al. and Ohgake with Sasaki et al. to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 4.

- 8. Claims 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,490,683) and Ohgake (U.S. Pub. 2001/0044887), and further in view of Serpa (U.S. Patent 6,954,862).
 - a. Referring to Claim 31:

As per Claim 31, Yamada et al. and Ohgake disclose a method for reading a confidential optical disc, which is a decoding method for reading optical disc produced by claim 1, the method comprising steps of:

player reading optical disc data [(lines 1-9, Col. 8 from Yamada et al.)]; receiving view confidential data command signal [(lines 66-67, Col. 19 and lines 1-2, Col. 20 from Yamada et al.) and (lines 1-5 of [0030] and lines 1-6 of [0038] from Ohgake)];

requesting to entry of a password [(lines 3-8 of [0038] from Ohgake)]; checking to if correct ID field exist [(lines 4-11 of [0037]; lines 3-8 of [0038]; lines 1-4 of [0040]; lines 1-4 of [0041] of Ohgake)]; if ID field exists in the optical disk, checking if entered password is correct [(lines 66-67, Col. 19 and lines 1-2, Col. 20 from Yamada et al.) and (lines 1-10 of [0038]; lines 1-8 of [0039] from Ohgake)]; if entered password is correct, playing/reading real data [(lines 66-67,

Application/Control Number:	 Page 16
10/666,716	•
Art Unit: 2135	

Col. 19 from Yamada et al.) and (lines 1-10 of [0038]; [0039] and [0040] from Ohgake)];

ending playing/reading session [(lines 7-9, Col. 15 from Yamada et al.)].

Yamada et al. and Ohgake do not expressly disclose the remaining limitation of the claim. However, Serpa discloses checking to determine if password entries reach a predetermined limitation [(lines 19-20, Col. 4)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Serpa are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and password for access control. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al. and Ohgake with determining whether the limited number of times in password tries has reached as disclosed by Serpa since one would have been motivated to increase the security afforded by passwords and to make them easier to use (lines 25-26, Col. 2 from Serpa). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al. and Ohgake with Serpa to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 31.

b. Referring to Claim 32:

As per Claim 32, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Serpa disclose the method of claim 31, wherein the entered password is the data-accessing password [(lines 6-8 of [0038] and lines 1-6 of [0039] from Ohgake)].

Application/Control Number:	Page 17
10/666,716	
Art Unit: 2135	

c. Referring to Claim 33:

As per Claim 33, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Serpa disclose the method of claim 31. In addition, Serpa discloses if password entries in step (d) is more than five times reach the predetermined limitation, the method will ignore any further entries until player reads optical disk data as limiting the number of times the password may be retried and ignore/suspend further actions [(lines 19-20, Col. 4)].

d. Referring to Claim 34:

As per Claim 34, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Serpa disclose the method of claim 31, further comprising if player can not find the ID field or the ID field does not exist, then player will ignore the entered password until player reads optical disk data again [(lines 4-11 of [0037]; lines 3-8 of [0038]; lines 1-4 of [0040]; lines 1-4 of [0041] of Ohgake)].

e. Referring to Claim 35:

As per Claim 35, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Serpa disclose the method of claim 31, further comprising if the password is incorrect, the method will ignore the entered password until player reads optical disk data again [(lines 66-67, Col. 19; lines 1-2 and 7-13, Col. 20 from Yamada et al.)].

f. Referring to Claim 36:

Application/Control Number:	 Page 18
10/666,716	•
Art Unit: 2135	*

As per Claim 36, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Serpa disclose the method of claim 31, wherein the playing/reading session will end up on the following event:

ejection off optical disc; turning off view confidential data option; turning off player reader [(lines 7-20, Col. 15 from Yamada et al.)]

- 9. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamada et al. (U.S. Patent 6,490,683), Ohgake (U.S. Pub. 2001/0044887) and Ando et al. (U.S. Patent 6,907,187), and further in view of Serpa (U.S. Patent 6,954,862).
 - a. Referring to Claim 29:

As per Claim 29, Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. disclose the method of claim 23, wherein the directory imported from real data in step of importing directory tree of real data from source is placed to anywhere on disc [(lines 65-67, Col. 22; lines 5-19, Col. 23 from Yamada et al.)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. do not expressly disclose the remaining limitations of the claim. However, Sasaki et al. disclose anywhere on the disc that does not have a piece of data or descriptor's addressing fixed by file system or application layer [(lines 1-7 of [0264] from Yamada et al.)]. Yamada et al., Ohgake, Ando et al., and Sasaki et al. are analogous art because they are from similar technology relating to digital information processing and file system format for recoding medium. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

Application/Control Number: 10/666,716	 Page 19
Art Unit: 2135	

the art at the time of invention was made to combine the system disclosed in Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al. with virtual/non-fixed address in the protected area disclosed by Sasaki et al. since one would have been motivated to have a recording medium in which a leadin area and an over-run protection area, both including an area which records chain volume management information for obtaining end position of an accessible area (lines 7-10 of [0002] from Sasaki et al.). Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Yamada et al., Ohgake, and Ando et al., with Sasaki et al. to obtain the invention as specified in Claim 29.

Response to Arguments

- 10. Applicant's amendments, filed on Mar. 17, 2007, have the Claims 1, 8-22, and 24-36 amended.
- 11. Applicant's remark, filed on Mar. 17, 2007, argues that as per claim 1 nowhere do the references disclose or suggest setting a data-accessing password that is used for accessing data in the reading process, and selecting one of data sources for public viewing and confidential viewing data to be burned on the disk.
- 12. Applicant's remark, filed on Mar. 17, 2007, argues that as per claim 31 nowhere do the references disclose or suggest playing/reading real data if entered password is correct.

Application/Control Number:	Page 20
10/666,716	
Art Unit: 2135	

13. Applicant's remark has been fully considered, but found not persuasive based on the reasons below.

Regarding to Argument 1:

Examiner disagrees with Applicant's argument nowhere do the references cited for Claim 1 disclose or suggest setting a data-accessing password that is used for accessing data in the reading process, and selecting one of data sources for public viewing and confidential viewing data to be burned on the disk. First of all, the limitation recited in Claim 1 states "setting a data-accessing password for future verification" instead of setting a data-accessing password for accessing data in the reading process" as argued by Applicant, and the limitation is disclosed specifically by Yamada as registering the user password for protecting the data from being copying dishonestly (see lines 41-45, Col. 19 and lines 53-56, Col. 18). That is, the password formed in the watermark is set for verifying whether authorized assessing (i.e., copying) is allowed. In regard to the limitation of selecting one of data sources for public viewing and confidential viewing data to be burned on the disk, Ohgake discloses the that different access levels and information that is available to the user when accessing the optical disk illustrated in Fig. 1 (see lines 1-5 of [0030] and lines 1-14 of [0035]). That is, the general-level (common users) information that is available to the users and the confidential-level (only certain authorized users) information are chosen, set. and stored in the optical disk 1 illustrated in the Fig. 1 so that they are available

Application/Control Number:		Page 21
10/666,716	<u> </u>	
Art Unit: 2135		

to be accessed. Therefore, contrary to Applicant's argument, the reference by Ohgake teaches the argued limitation.

Regarding to Argument 2:

Examiner disagrees with Applicant's argument nowhere do the references cited for Claim 31 disclose or suggest playing/reading real data if entered password is correct. Ohgake specifically discloses accessing (reading) file information stored in the recording medium by comparing the qualification information, such as password (see lines 1-10 of [0038]; [0039] and [0040]). In addition, Yamada et al. disclose the password in the identifier descriptor (id field) and electronic watermark are compared when data is to be reproduced (accessed).

Based on the reasons above, the prior art by Yamada et al. and Ohgake when combined, is still sufficient to meet the scope of the argued claims 1 and 31. Applicant is reminded that additional modification to clarify the claimed limitation is necessary for further consideration.

Conclusion

14. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed

Application/Control Number:	Page 22
10/666,716	
Art Unit: 2135	•

within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- a. Peterson (U.S. Pub. 2005/0015608) discloses the present invention provides a method of integrating existing strong encryption methods into the processing of a .ZIP file to provide a highly secure data container which provides flexibility in the use of symmetric and asymmetric encryption technology. The present invention adapts the well established .ZIP file format to support higher levels of security and multiple methods of data encryption and key management, thereby producing a highly secure and flexible digital container for electronically storing and transferring confidential data.
- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yin-Chen Shaw whose telephone number is 571-272-8593. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:15 to 4:15 M-F.

 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kim Yen Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/666,716	Page 23
Art Unit: 2135	

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

YCS.

May, 28, 2007

KIM VU (

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100